

Strong approximations in a charged-polymer model

Yueyun Hu Davar Khoshnevisan
 Université Paris 13 University of Utah

November 19, 2009

Abstract

We study the large-time behavior of the charged-polymer Hamiltonian H_n of Kantor and Kardar [Bernoulli case] and Derrida, Griffiths, and Higgs [Gaussian case], using strong approximations to Brownian motion. Our results imply, among other things, that in one dimension the process $\{H_{[nt]}\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ behaves like a Brownian motion, time-changed by the intersection local-time process of an independent Brownian motion. Chung-type LILs are also discussed.

Keywords: Charged polymers, strong approximation, local time.

AMS 2000 subject classification: Primary: 60K35; Secondary: 60K37.

Running Title: Polymer measures, strong approximations.

1 Introduction

Consider a sequence $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of independent, identically-distributed mean-zero random variables, and let $S := \{S_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ denote an independent simple random walk on $\mathbf{Z}^d$ starting from 0. For $n \geq 1$, define

$$H_n := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} q_i q_j \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i = S_j\}}; \quad (1.1)$$

this is the Hamiltonian of a so-called “charged polymer model.” See Kantor and Kardar [12] in the case that the q_i ’s are Bernoulli, and Derrida, Griffiths,

and Higgs [8] for the case of Gaussian random variables. Roughly speaking, $q_1, q_2, \dots$ are random charges that are placed on a polymer path modeled by the trajectories of S ; and one can construct a Gibbs-type polymer measure from the Hamiltonian H_n .

We follow Chen [4] (LIL and moderate deviations), Chen and Khoshnevisan [5] (comparison between H_n and the random walk in random scenery model), and Asselah [1] (large deviations in high dimensional case), and continue the analysis of the Hamiltonian H_n . We assume here and in the sequel that

$$\mathbb{E}(q_1^2) = 1 \text{ and } \mathbb{E}\left(|q_1|^{p(d)}\right) < \infty \text{ where } p(d) := \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } d = 1, \\ 4 & \text{if } d \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Theorem 1.1. *On a possibly-enlarged probability space, we can define a version of $\{H_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and a one-dimensional Brownian motion $\{\gamma(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that the following holds almost surely:*

$$H_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\ell_n^x)^2 dx \right) + o(n^{\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon}) & \text{if } d = 1 \text{ and } 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{24}, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \gamma(n \log n) + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \log n) & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \sqrt{\kappa} \gamma(n) + o(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}) & \text{if } d \geq 3 \text{ and } 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{8}, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where $\{\ell_t^x\}_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbf{R}}$ denotes the local times of a linear Brownian motion B independent of γ , and $\kappa := \sum_{k=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}\{S_k = 0\}$.

It was shown in [5] that when $d = 1$ the distribution of H_n converges, after normalization, to the “random walk in random scenery.” The preceding shows that the stochastic process $\{H_{[nt]}\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ does *not* converge weakly to the random walk in random scenery; rather, we have the following consequence of Brownian scaling for all $T > 0$: As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\{ \frac{H_{[nt]}}{n^{3/4}} \right\}_{0 \leq t \leq T} \xrightarrow{D([0,T])} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\ell_t^x)^2 dx \right) \right\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}. \quad (1.4)$$

With a little bit more effort, we can also obtain strong limit theorems.

Let us state the following counterpart to the LILs of Chen [4], as it appears to have novel content.

Theorem 1.2. *Almost surely: (i) If $d = 1$, then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log \log n}{n} \right)^{3/4} \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |H_k| = (a^*)^{3/4} \frac{\pi}{4},$$

where $a^* = 2.189 \pm 0.0001$ is a numerical constant [11, (0.6)];

(ii) If $d = 2$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\log \log n}{n \log n}} \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |H_k| = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4};$$

(iii) If $d \geq 3$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\log \log n}{n}} \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |H_k| = \pi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{8}},$$

where κ was defined in Theorem 1.1.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved respectively in Sections 2 and 3.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let W be a one-dimensional Brownian motion starting from 0. By the Skorohod embedding theorem, there exists a sequence of stopping times $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\{T_n - T_{n-1}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ (with $T_0 = 0$) are i.i.d., and:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(T_1) = \mathbb{E}(q_1^2) = 1, \quad \text{Var}(T_1) \leq \text{const} \cdot \mathbb{E}(q_1^4) < \infty, \quad \text{and} \\ \{W(T_n) - W(T_{n-1})\}_{n=1}^\infty \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Throughout this paper, we take the following special construction of the charges $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$:

$$q_n := W(T_n) - W(T_{n-1}) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Next, we describe how we choose a special construction of the random walk S , depending on d .

If $d = 1$, then on a possibly-enlarged probability space let B be another one-dimensional Brownian motion, independent of W . By using a theorem of Révész [14], we may construct a one-dimensional simple symmetric random walk $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ from B such that almost surely,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{Z}} |L_n^x - \ell_n^x| = n^{\frac{1}{4} + o(1)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ where } L_n^x := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=x\}}, \quad (2.3)$$

and ℓ_n^x denotes the local times of B at x up to time n .

If $d \geq 2$, then we just choose an independent simple symmetric random walk $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, after enlarging the probability space, if we need to.

Now we define the Hamiltonians $\{H_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ via the preceding constructions of $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} H_n &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (W(T_i) - W(T_{i-1}))(W(T_j) - W(T_{j-1})) \mathbf{1}_{(S_i=S_j)} \\ &= \int_0^{T_n} G_n \, dW, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where, for all integers $n \geq 1$ and reals $s \geq 0$,

$$G_n(s) := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{(S_i=S_j)} (W(T_i) - W(T_{i-1})) \mathbf{1}_{(T_{j-1} \leq s < T_j)}. \quad (2.5)$$

By the Dambis, Dubins–Schwarz representation theorem [15, Theorem 1.6, p. 170], after possibly enlarging the underlying probability space, we can find a one-dimensional Brownian motion γ such that $\int_0^t G_n \, dW$ is equal to $\gamma(\int_0^t |G_n(s)|^2 \, ds)$ for $t \geq 0$. We stress the fact that if $d = 1$, then γ is *independent* of B . This is so, because the bracket between the two continuous martingales vanishes: $\langle \int_0^\bullet G_n \, dW, B \rangle_t = 0$ for $t \geq 0$. Consequently, the following holds for all $n \geq 1$: Almost surely,

$$H_n = \gamma(\Xi_n), \quad \text{where } \Xi_n := \int_0^{T_n} |G_n(s)|^2 \, ds. \quad (2.6)$$

Proposition 2.1. *The following holds almost surely:*

$$\Xi_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\ell_n^x)^2 dx + O(n^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}) & \text{if } d = 1 \text{ and } 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{12}, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} n \log n + O(n \log \log n) & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \kappa n + O(n^{1-\epsilon}) & \text{if } d \geq 3 \text{ and } 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4}. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

We prove this proposition later. First, we show that in case $d = 1$, the preceding proposition estimates Ξ_n correctly to leading term.

Lemma 2.2. *If $d = 1$, then a.s., $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\ell_n^x)^2 dx = n^{\frac{3}{2}+o(1)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. This is well known; we include a proof for the sake of completeness.

Because $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_n^x dx = n$, we have $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\ell_n^x)^2 dx \leq n \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \ell_n^x$, and this is $n^{\frac{3}{2}+o(1)}$ [13]. For the converse bound we apply the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to find that $n^2 = (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_n^x dx)^2 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\ell_n^x)^2 dx \cdot \text{Osc}_{[0,n]} B$, where $\text{Osc}_{[0,n]} B := \sup_{[0,n]} B - \inf_{[0,n]} B = n^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)}$ by Khintchine’s LIL. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let us complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, first assuming Proposition 2.1. That proposition will then be proved subsequently.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We shall consider only the case $d = 1$; the cases $d = 2$ and $d \geq 3$ are proved similarly. We apply the Csörgő–Révész modulus of continuity of Brownian motion [7, Theorem 1.2.1] to $H_n = \gamma(\Xi_n)$ —see (2.6)—with the changes of variables, $t = n^{\frac{3}{2}+o(1)}$ and $a(t) = n^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}$; then apply Lemma 2.2 to see that $|\gamma(\Xi_n) - \gamma(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\ell_n^x)^2 dx)| = O(n^{\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *The following holds almost surely:*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i = S_k\}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\ell_n^x)^2 dx + n^{\frac{5}{4}+o(1)} & \text{if } d = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} n \log n + O(n \log \log n) & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \kappa n + n^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)} & \text{if } d \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. In the case that $d = 2$, this result follows from Bass, Chen and Rosen [2]; and in the case $d \geq 3$, from Chen [4, Theorem 5.2]. Therefore, we need to only check the case $d = 1$.

We begin by writing $\sum \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i = S_k\}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}} (L_n^x)^2 - \frac{n}{2}$. According to Bass and Griffin [3, Lemma 5.3], $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup_{y \in [x, x+1]} |\ell_n^x - \ell_n^y| = n^{\frac{1}{4}+o(1)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. This and (2.3) together imply that $|L_n^x - \ell_n^y| = n^{\frac{1}{4}+o(1)}$ uniformly over all $y \in [x, x+1]$ and $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ [a.s.], whence

$$\left| \sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}} (L_n^x)^2 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\ell_n^y)^2 dy \right| \leq n^{\frac{1}{4}+o(1)} \cdot \sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_x^{x+1} (L_n^x + \ell_n^y) dy. \quad (2.9)$$

Since the latter sum is equal to $2n$, the lemma follows. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *The following holds a.s.: As $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i = S_k\}} (q_i^2 - 1) = \begin{cases} n^{\frac{7}{6}+o(1)} & \text{if } d = 1, \\ n^{\frac{3}{4}+o(1)} & \text{if } d \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. We can let M_n denote the double sum in the lemma, and check directly that $M_n = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (L_n^{S_i} - L_i^{S_i})(q_i^2 - 1)$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the σ -algebra generated by the entire process S . Then, conditionally on $\mathcal{S}$, each M_n is a sum of independent random variables. By Burkholder's inequality [10, Theorem 2.10, p. 34], for all even integers $p \geq 2$,

$$\mathbb{E}(|M_n|^p) \leq \text{const} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (L_n^{S_i} - L_i^{S_i})^2 (q_i^2 - 1)^2 \right|^{p/2} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

According to the generalized Hölder inequality,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{p/2} (q_{i_k}^2 - 1)^2 \right) \leq \prod_{k=1}^{p/2} \{ \mathbb{E}(|q_{i_k}^2 - 1|^p) \}^{2/p} = \mathbb{E}(|q_1^2 - 1|^p). \quad (2.12)$$

Another application of the generalized Hölder inequality, together with an

appeal to the Markov property, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{p/2} \left(L_n^{S_{i_k}} - L_{i_k}^{S_{i_k}} \right)^2 \right) &\leq \prod_{k=1}^{p/2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\left| L_n^{S_{i_k}} - L_{i_k}^{S_{i_k}} \right|^p \right) \right\}^{2/p} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{p/2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\left| L_{n-i_k}^0 \right|^p \right) \right\}^{2/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore, we can apply the local-limit theorem to find that

$$\mathbb{E}(|M_n|^p) \leq \text{const} \cdot \begin{cases} n^p & \text{if } d = 1, \\ n^{\frac{p}{2}+o(1)} & \text{if } d \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

The lemma follows from this and the Borel–Cantelli lemma. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *The following holds almost surely: As $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i = S_k\}} q_i^2 (T_k - T_{k-1} - 1) = \begin{cases} n^{1+o(1)} & \text{if } d = 1, \\ n^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)} & \text{if } d \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. Let N_n denote the double sum in the lemma, and note that

$$\begin{aligned} N_n &= \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} \beta_{k-1} (T_k - T_{k-1} - 1), \text{ where} \\ \beta_{k-1} &:= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} q_i^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i = S_k\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Recall that $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the σ -algebra generated by the entire process S and observe that, conditionally on $\mathcal{S}$, $\{N_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a mean-zero martingale with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(N_n^2 | \mathcal{S}) &= \text{Var}(T_1) \cdot \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} \mathbb{E}(\beta_{k-1}^2 | \mathcal{S}) \\ &= \text{Var}(T_1) \cdot \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} \left(\text{Var}(q_1^2) + |\mathbb{E}(q_1^2)|^2 \cdot L_{k-1}^{S_k} \right) L_{k-1}^{S_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

This and Doob's inequality together show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} N_k^2 \right) \leq \text{const} \cdot n \left(\max_{a \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathbb{E}(L_n^a) + \max_{a \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathbb{E}(|L_n^a|^2) \right). \quad (2.18)$$

By the local-limit theorem, the preceding is at most a constant multiple of $n(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} i^{-d/2})^2$. The Borel–Cantelli lemma finishes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Recall the definition of each q_i . With that in mind, we can decompose Ξ_n as follows:

$$\Xi_n = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} ds \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < k} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=S_k\}} q_i \right)^2 = \Xi_n^{(1)} + \Xi_n^{(2)}, \quad (2.19)$$

where,

$$\Xi_n^{(1)} := \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=S_k\}} q_i^2 (T_k - T_{k-1}), \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\Xi_n^{(2)} := 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq l < k \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=S_j=S_k\}} q_i q_j (T_k - T_{k-1}). \quad (2.21)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_n^{(1)} &= \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=S_k\}} + \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=S_k\}} (q_i^2 - 1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=S_k\}} q_i^2 (T_k - T_{k-1} - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 together imply that $\Xi_n^{(1)}$ has the large- n asymptotics that is claimed for Ξ_n . In light of Lemma 2.2, it suffices to show that almost surely the following holds as $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\Xi_n^{(2)} = \begin{cases} n^{\frac{17}{12}+o(1)} & \text{if } d = 1, \\ n^{\frac{3}{4}+o(1)} & \text{if } d \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

We can write $\Xi_n^{(2)} = 2 \sum_{k=3}^n \tau_{k-1}(S_k)(T_k - T_{k-1})$, where

$$\tau_{k-1}(z) := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=S_j=z\}} q_i q_j \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ and } k > 1. \quad (2.24)$$

In particular, we can write

$$\Xi_n^{(2)} := 2(a_n + b_n), \quad \text{where} \quad (2.25)$$

$$a_n := \sum_{k=3}^n \tau_{k-1}(S_k)(T_k - T_{k-1} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad b_n := \sum_{k=3}^n \tau_{k-1}(S_k). \quad (2.26)$$

Recall that $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the σ -algebra generated by the process S . It follows that, conditional on $\mathcal{S}$, the process $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a mean-zero martingale, and

$$\mathbb{E}(a_n^2 | \mathcal{S}) = \text{Var}(T_1) \cdot \sum_{k=3}^n \mathbb{E}(|\tau_{k-1}(S_k)|^2 | \mathcal{S}). \quad (2.27)$$

The latter conditional expectation is also computed by a martingale computation. Namely, we write $\tau_{k-1}(z) = \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=S_j=z\}} q_i) q_j$ for all $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ in order to deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}(|\tau_{k-1}(z)|^2 | \mathcal{S}) = \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_j=z\}} L_{j-1}^z \leq (L_{k-1}^z)^2. \quad (2.28)$$

It follows from Doob's maximal inequality that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_k^2 \right) \leq 4 \text{Var}(T_1) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=3}^n (L_{k-1}^{S_k})^2 \right). \quad (2.29)$$

By time reversal, we can replace $L_{k-1}^{S_k}$ by L_{k-1}^0 . Therefore, the local-limit theorem implies that $\mathbb{E}(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_k^2) \leq \text{const} \cdot n(\sum_{i=1}^n i^{-d/2})^2$, and hence almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$, (2.23) is satisfied with $\Xi_n^{(2)}$ replaced by a_n [the Borel–Cantelli lemma]. It suffices to prove that (2.23) holds if Ξ_n is replaced by b_n .

We can write $b_n := b_{n,n}$, where

$$b_{n,k} = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \theta_{j-1,k} q_j \quad \text{for} \quad \theta_{j-1,k} := \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=S_j\}} q_i \left(L_k^{S_i} - L_j^{S_i} \right). \quad (2.30)$$

For each fixed integer $k \geq 1$, $\{b_{n,k}\}_{n \geq 3}$ is a mean-zero martingale, conditional on $\mathcal{S}$. Therefore, Burkholder's inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}(|b_{n,k}|^p \mid \mathcal{S}) \leq \text{const} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left(\left[\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \theta_{j-1,k}^2 q_j^2 \right]^{p/2} \mid \mathcal{S} \right), \quad (2.31)$$

where the implied constant is nonrandom and depends only on p . Since $|\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j|^{p/2} \leq n^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |x_j|^{p/2}$ for all real $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$, we can apply the preceding with $k := n$ to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(|b_n|^p \mid \mathcal{S}) \leq \text{const} \cdot \mathbb{E}(|q_1|^p) \cdot n^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(|\theta_{j-1,n}|^p \mid \mathcal{S}). \quad (2.32)$$

Yet another application of Burkholder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|\theta_{j-1,n}|^p \mid \mathcal{S}) &\leq \text{const} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i=S_j\}} q_i^2 \left(L_n^{S_i} - L_j^{S_i} \right)^2 \right|^{p/2} \right) \\ &\leq \text{const} \cdot \mathbb{E}(|q_1|^p) \cdot \left(L_{j-1}^{S_j} \right)^{p/2} \left(L_n^{S_j} - L_j^{S_j} \right)^p, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

since $\mathbb{E}(q_{i_1}^2 \cdots q_{i_{p/2}}^2) \leq \mathbb{E}(|q_1|^p)$ for all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{p/2} < j$. We take expectations and apply the Markov property and time reversal to find that

$$\mathbb{E}(|\theta_{j-1,n}|^p) \leq \text{const} \cdot \mathbb{E}(|q_1|^p) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\left(L_{j-1}^0 \right)^{p/2} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\left(L_{n-j}^0 \right)^p \right]. \quad (2.34)$$

It follows readily that

$$\mathbb{E}(|b_n|^p) \leq \text{const} \cdot \mathbb{E}(|q_1|^p) \cdot n^{p/2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(L_n^0 \right)^{p/2} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\left(L_n^0 \right)^p \right] \quad (2.35)$$

This, the local-limit theorem, and the Borel–Cantelli lemma together imply

that (2.23) holds with b_n in place of $\Xi_n^{(2)}$. The proposition follows. $\square$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In view of Theorem 1.1 and the LIL for the Brownian motion, it suffices to consider only the case $d = 1$, and to establish the following:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\mathbb{L}_2 n}{n} \right)^{3/4} \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |\gamma(\alpha(k))| = (a^*)^{3/4} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{8}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}_2 x := \log \log(x \vee 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(t) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\ell_t^x)^2 dx. \quad (3.2)$$

It is known that [6, Theorem 3], $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} (\ell_k^x - \ell_{k-1}^x) = o((\log n)^{-1/2})$ almost surely [P]. This implies readily that $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} (\alpha(k+1) - \alpha(k-1)) = O(n\sqrt{\log n})$ a.s. Therefore, it follows from [7, Theorem 1.2.1] that (3.1) is equivalent to the following:

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\mathbb{L}_2 t}{t} \right)^{3/4} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\gamma(\alpha(s))| = (a^*)^{3/4} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{8}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Brownian scaling implies that $\alpha(t)$ and $t^{3/2}\alpha(1)$ have the same distribution. On one hand, Proposition 1 of [11] tells us that the limit $C := \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \exp\{a^* \lambda^{2/3}\} \mathbb{E} \exp(-\lambda \alpha(1))$ exists and is positive and finite. On the other hand, we can write $\gamma^*(t) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\gamma(s)|$ and appeal to Lemma 1.6.1 of [7] to find that for all $t, y > 0$,

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2 t}{8y^2}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\{\gamma^*(t) < y\} \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2 t}{8y^2}\right). \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore uniformly for all $t > 0$ and $x \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\gamma(\alpha(s))| < xt^{3/4}\right\} &= \mathbb{P}\{\gamma^*(\alpha(1)) < x\} \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \mathbb{E} e^{-\pi^2 \alpha(1)/(8x^2)} \\ &\leq \text{const} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{a^*}{x^{4/3}} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{8}\right)^{2/3}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

This and an application of the Borel–Cantelli lemma together yield one half of the (3.3); namely, (3.3) where “=” is replaced by “ $\geq$.” In order to derive the other half we choose $t_n := n^n$ and $c > (a^*)^{3/4}\pi/\sqrt{8}$, and define

$$A_n := \left\{ \omega : \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_n} |\gamma(\alpha(s))| < c \left(\frac{t_n}{L_2 t_n} \right)^{3/4} \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Every A_n is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{t_n} := \sigma\{\gamma(u) : u \leq \alpha(t_n)\} \vee \sigma\{B_v : v \leq t_n\}$. In light of the 0-1 law of Paul Lévy, and since $c > (a^*)^{3/4}\pi/\sqrt{8}$ is otherwise arbitrary, it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}}) = \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (3.7)$$

The argument that led to (3.5) can be used to show that for all $v \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\gamma^*(v + \alpha(t)) < xt^{3/4}\right\} &\geq \frac{2}{\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2 v}{8x^2 t^{3/2}}\right) \mathbb{E} e^{-\pi^2 \alpha(1)/(8x^2)} \\ &\geq \text{const} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2 v}{8x^2 t^{3/2}} - \frac{a^*}{x^{4/3}} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{8}\right)^{2/3}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

In order to prove (3.7), let us choose and fix a large integer n temporarily. We might note that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_n} |\gamma(\alpha(s))| = \gamma^*(\alpha(t_n)) \leq \gamma^*(\alpha(t_{n-1})) + \tilde{\gamma}^*(\alpha(t_n) - \alpha(t_{n-1})), \quad (3.9)$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}(s) = \tilde{\gamma}_n(s) := \gamma(s + \alpha(t_{n-1})) - \gamma(\alpha(t_{n-1}))$ and $\tilde{\gamma}^*(s) := \sup_{0 \leq v \leq s} |\tilde{\gamma}(v)|$ for $s \geq 0$. Of course, $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a Brownian motion independent of $\mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}}$. Moreover, we can write $\ell_{t_n}^x = \ell_{t_{n-1}}^x + \tilde{\ell}_{t_n - t_{n-1}}^{x - B_{t_{n-1}}}$, where $\tilde{\ell}$ denotes the local time process of the Brownian motion $\tilde{B}(s) := B(s + t_{n-1}) - B(t_{n-1})$, $s \geq 0$. Clearly, $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{B})$ is a two-dimensional Brownian motion, independent of $\mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}}$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{t_n} - \alpha_{t_{n-1}} &= \int dx \left[(\ell_{t_n}^x)^2 - (\ell_{t_{n-1}}^x)^2 \right] = \int dx \tilde{\ell}_{t_n - t_{n-1}}^{x - B_{t_{n-1}}} \left(\ell_{t_{n-1}}^x + \tilde{\ell}_{t_n - t_{n-1}}^{x - B_{t_{n-1}}} \right) \\ &\leq (t_n - t_{n-1}) \ell_{t_{n-1}}^* + \tilde{\alpha}_{t_n - t_{n-1}},\end{aligned}\quad (3.10)$$

where $\ell_{t_{n-1}}^* := \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \ell_{t_{n-1}}^x$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\gamma^*(\alpha_{t_n}) \leq \gamma^*(\alpha_{t_{n-1}}) + \tilde{\gamma}^* \left((t_n - t_{n-1}) \ell_{t_{n-1}}^* + \tilde{\alpha}_{t_n - t_{n-1}} \right). \quad (3.11)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $2\varepsilon < c - (a^*)^{3/4}\pi/\sqrt{8}$, and define

$$D_n := \left\{ \gamma^*(\alpha_{t_{n-1}}) < \varepsilon \left(\frac{t_n}{\mathbf{L}_2 t_n} \right)^{3/4}, \ell_{t_{n-1}}^* \leq \sqrt{3t_{n-1} \mathbf{L}_2 t_{n-1}} \right\}. \quad (3.12)$$

Clearly, D_n is $\mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}}$ -measurable. Let $v_n := t_n \sqrt{3t_{n-1} \mathbf{L}_2 t_{n-1}}$. Since

$$A_n \supset D_n \cap \left\{ \tilde{\gamma}^*(v_n + \tilde{\alpha}_{t_n}) \leq (c - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{t_n}{\mathbf{L}_2 t_n} \right)^{3/4} \right\}, \quad (3.13)$$

we can deduce from (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{P}(A_n | \mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}}) \\ &\geq \text{const} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{D_n} \exp \left(-\frac{\pi^2 v_n}{8} \left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_2 t_n}{t_n} \right)^{3/2} - a^* \left(\frac{\pi^2}{8(c - \varepsilon)^2} \right)^{2/3} \mathbf{L}_2 t_n \right) \\ &\geq \text{const} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{D_n} (n \ln n)^{-a^* (\pi^2/8)^{2/3} (c - \varepsilon)^{-4/3}},\end{aligned}\quad (3.14)$$

where we have used the fact that $v_n/t_n^{3/2} \sim 1/n$. Because $a^*(\pi^2/8)^{2/3}(c - \varepsilon)^{-4/3} < 1$, (3.7) implies that almost surely, $\mathbf{1}_{D_n} = 1$ for all n large. Indeed, the LIL tells us that almost surely for all large n , $\ell_{t_{n-1}}^* \leq \sqrt{3t_{n-1} \mathbf{L}_2 t_{n-1}}$, and $\gamma^*(\alpha_{t_{n-1}}) \leq \sqrt{3\alpha_{t_{n-1}} \mathbf{L}_2 \alpha_{t_{n-1}}}$. Since $\alpha(t) = \int (\ell_t^x)^2 dx \leq t \ell_t^*$, we find that $\alpha_{t_{n-1}} \leq t_{n-1}^{3/2} \sqrt{3 \mathbf{L}_2 t_{n-1}}$. Since $t_{n-1}/t_n \sim 1/n$, it follows that almost surely, $\ell_{t_{n-1}}^* \leq \varepsilon (t_n/\mathbf{L}_2 t_n)^{3/4}$ for all large n and prove that D_n realizes eventually for all large n . The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. $\square$

Acknowledgements. We thank the University of Paris for generously hosting the second author (D.K.), during which time much of this research was carried out.

References

- [1] Asselah, Amine, Annealed large deviation estimates for the energy of a polymer, *Preprint* (2009) *arXiv:0812.0443v2*.
- [2] Bass, R.F., Chen, X. and Rosen, J., Moderate deviations and laws of the iterated logarithm for the renormalized self-intersection local times of planar random walks. *Electron. J. Probab.* **11** (2006), no. 37, 993–1030 (electronic).
- [3] Bass, Richard F. and Griffin, Philip S., The most visited site of Brownian motion and simple random walk. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **70** (1985), no. 3, 417–436.
- [4] Chen, X., Limit laws for the energy of a charged polymer. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré: Probab. Stat.* **44** (2008), no. 4, 638–672.
- [5] Chen, X. and Khoshnevisan, D., From charged polymers to random walk in random scenery. *IMS Lecture Notes. Optimality: The 3rd E.L. Lehmann Symposium* Vol. 57 (2009) 237–251.
- [6] Csáki, E., Csörgő, M., Földes, A., and Révész, P., How big are the increments of the local time of a Wiener process? *Ann. Probab.* **11** (1983), no. 3, 593–608.
- [7] Csörgő, M. and Révész, P., *Strong Approximations in Probability and Statistics*, Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1981.
- [8] Derrida, B., Griffiths, B. and Higgs, P.G., A Model of Directed Walks with Random Self-Interactions. *Europhys. Lett.*, **18**, no. 4 (1992) pp. 361–366.
- [9] Erdős, P.; and Taylor, S.J., Some problems concerning the structure of random walk paths. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **11** (1960) 137–162.
- [10] Hall, P. and Heyde, C. C., *Martingale Limit Theory and Its Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [11] van der Hofstad, R., den Hollander, F. and König, W., Central limit theorem for Edwards model. *Ann. Probab.* **25** (1997), no. 2 573–597.
- [12] Kantor, Y. and Kardar, M., Polymers with Random Self-Interactions. *Europhys. Lett.* **14**, No. 5 (1991) 421–426.

- [13] Kesten, Hary, An iterated logarithm law for local time. *Duke Math. J.* **32** (1965) 447–456.
- [14] Révész, P., Local time and invariance. In: *Analytical Methods in Probability Theory* (Oberwolfach, 1980), pp. 128–145, Lecture Notes in Math., 861, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [15] Revuz, Daniel and Yor, Marc, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

Yueyun Hu. Département de Mathématiques, Université Paris XIII, 99 avenue J-B Clément, F-93430 Villetaneuse, France, *Email:* `yueyun@math.univ-paris13.fr`

Davar Khoshnevisan. Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, 155 South 1440 East, JWB 233, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112-0090, USA,
Email: `davar@math.utah.edu`